



This petition has collected
246 signatures
using the online tools at iPetitions.com

Printed on 2016-10-05

Petition to Void 2016 Scarsdale Tentative AV

About this petition

If you believe the Scarsdale Village should void the 2016 Revaluation, because it is based on a flawed model and opaque process, please sign this petition. Please leave your name and address in the comment section and share with your neighbors.

Thank you!

Signatures

1. Name: Brice Kirkendall-Rodriguez (bricek@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-05 01:22:52
Comments: 19 Fox Meadow Road. We wish our home had appreciated 36% in value in the last five years but neighboring homes almost twice our size aren't even selling for our new evaluation amount. Clearly the new model did not test assumptions using outlier results. FAIL!

2. Name: Nickolay Baturin (ngbaturin@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-05 02:12:57
Comments:

3. Name: Judy and Michael Kerr (themichener@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-05 15:02:37
Comments: 15 Fox Meadow Rd

4. Name: Ellen Eikamp (Campeikamp@optimum.net) on 2016-06-05 17:12:50
Comments:

5. Name: Karl (karl123@optonline.net) on 2016-06-05 17:58:30
Comments:

6. Name: Jian Wang (jianwang2002@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-05 18:32:50
Comments:

7. Name: Jun Xie (quant99@gmail.com) on 2016-06-05 18:33:39
Comments: Completely ignoring the purchase price (mkt price), and giving big house owner too much benefit.

8. Name: ashley tang (tang.ashley@gmail.com) on 2016-06-05 19:10:45
Comments:

9. Name: Angela varlotta (angela0707@ail.com) on 2016-06-05 19:36:12
Comments:

10. Name: Nancy Fann-Im (nancy.fannim@gmail.com) on 2016-06-05 20:22:02
Comments:

11. Name: Xin liu (xinliu987@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-05 20:52:26
Comments:

12. Name: David Benderson (dbendersom@gmail.com) on 2016-06-05 21:13:34
Comments:

13. Name: Linda Lagana (sockhergrl9@aol.com) on 2016-06-05 21:19:11

Comments:

-
14. Name: Sherry Zhao (original@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-05 21:39:27
Comments:
-
15. Name: Chaohong Gu (guchaohong@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-05 21:41:37
Comments: Most basic information of my property is incorrect.
-
16. Name: Lauren Yang-guo (laurenyang@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-05 21:54:52
Comments: My house has been unfairly assessed.
-
17. Name: Xiaoqing zhang (xz229@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-05 22:26:25
Comments:
-
18. Name: Jingmiao Gao (gjingmiao@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-05 22:53:48
Comments:
-
19. Name: Christina deCastro (cfdidecastro@gmail.com) on 2016-06-05 23:23:30
Comments:
-
20. Name: Susan Douglass (susanudouglass@gmail.com) on 2016-06-06 00:00:48
Comments: Our assessment went up by more than \$500,000 -- and the only change was a repair in the driveway from damage caused by a car explosion/fire there. The process was completely unfair and arbitrary.
-
21. Name: Mel Cates (melrog18@gmail.co) on 2016-06-06 01:52:17
Comments:
-
22. Name: Kai Tang (woz88@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-06 02:41:53
Comments:
-
23. Name: Beth klein (shoesrme.beth@gmail.com) on 2016-06-06 03:26:33
Comments:
-
24. Name: Lucyna Shefter (lu_design@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-06 04:23:39
Comments:
-
25. Name: Daniel Shefter (daniel.shefter@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-06 05:00:30
Comments: 21 Fox Meadow Rd
-
26. Name: Michael Iver (iver2@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-06 10:47:14
Comments: How could my assessment increase 26% for a single-car garage 1900 sq-ft

split built in 1959 with everything original; kitchen and bathrooms?

27. Name: Carol Silverman (carolds1818@gmail.com) on 2016-06-06 12:11:40
Comments:

28. Name: Fang Lu (lufang0904@gmail.com) on 2016-06-06 12:17:07
Comments: 143 White Rd, Scarsdale.
My house has been assessed unfairly.

29. Name: Debbie Donahoe (d_donahoe@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-06 14:19:17
Comments:

30. Name: Anna Scappaticci (annascappaticci@verizon.net) on 2016-06-06 15:24:34
Comments:

31. Name: Arezo Hariri (arezo@yaztek.com) on 2016-06-06 15:50:01
Comments: Homes around me with more bedrooms, larger lot size and SF are assessed at a lower price. How is this possible?

32. Name: Joshua Fisher (fisher@codeboy.net) on 2016-06-06 15:56:48
Comments: 10 Fox Meadow.
W/ recent sales below my PPSQFT, its hard to understand how the valuation was realized. Additionally, its hard to understand how the town was able to update information on my home w/o actually visiting (e.g. sqft, bathroom conditions, etc.)

33. Name: Michael Wolloch (mwolloch@att.net) on 2016-06-06 16:59:33
Comments:

34. Name: Robyn Adams (baxterxoxo@aol.com) on 2016-06-06 17:25:41
Comments: 1 Mayflower Road
My taxes are nearly doubled from last year when Tyler Technologies made their assessment. Tyler Technologies actually visited my home. The new assessor did not. Something is obviously wrong with their methodology.

35. Name: Minnan Zhao (minnanzh@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-06 17:32:08
Comments:

36. Name: Debbie Singer (debsing3@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-06 17:40:19
Comments:

37. Name: Brett Jordan (coronadoisle7@aol.com) on 2016-06-06 17:45:40
Comments:

38. Name: Michael Lang (langm88@gmail.com) on 2016-06-06 17:57:49
Comments:
-
39. Name: James Allocco (jalocco@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-06 18:25:42
Comments: In a town with low housing turnover and large differences in fair market value even between houses on the same/adjacent streets, a reval that relies too heavily on sales data will lead to many inaccuracies. At minimum, large swings up or down in valuations from 2014 could have triggered an in-home visit for a real appraisal to verify results.
-
40. Name: Rose Marinaccio (Roserelocation@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-06 19:50:56
Comments: 110 Fox Meadow Road
-
41. Name: Randy Scheiner (randy18kt@aol.com) on 2016-06-06 19:54:50
Comments:
-
42. Name: Julie Tang (julietang@mindspring.com) on 2016-06-06 22:18:43
Comments: 2016 Reval is supposed to correct the mistakes from 2014 reassessment. But it caused more mistakes on many houses, especially the smaller houses.
-
43. Name: Alok and Amita Ajmera (ajmeraamita@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-06 23:59:07
Comments:
-
44. Name: HOWARD GOODMAN (HOWARD.GOODMAN@US.HSBC.COM) on 2016-06-07 01:00:00
Comments: colonial acres

my assessed value went up over 45% from when Tyler Technologies performed their assessment. which is extraordinary....how can anyone in scarsdale accept that level of increase without considering moving out of scarsdale
-
45. Name: Matthew Yazdi (matt@yaztek.com) on 2016-06-07 01:21:48
Comments: 1 Olmsted Road
-
46. Name: Ran Liu (liuran26@gmail.com) on 2016-06-07 01:53:16
Comments: We are on 4 Kathy lane.
the model used for assessment of 2015 is completely flawed. We can't tolerate anymore wasting our tax money on something completely nonsense.
-
47. Name: Yanjun Li (yanjunlisa@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-07 01:55:44
Comments:
-
48. Name: Jinwei Wu (jinweiwu@gmail.com) on 2016-06-07 01:58:44
Comments:
-

49. Name: Xiaoxia Zhang (zxx71@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-07 02:19:04
Comments: 168 Johnson Rd. Between two assessments, the building information for my home changed: Grade went up from C 100% to C+ 110%; Overall condition went up from 4 to 6. No body did any improvement since 2010. How come the grade of the home getting better by itself? By the completed flawed magic model used in this assessment?!
-
50. Name: Landa LaMotta (landalamotta@gmail.com) on 2016-06-07 03:22:26
Comments: Revaluations and revaluations... the processes are opaque and duplicitous...
-
51. Name: Ann Bohjalian (thebohjalians@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-07 03:42:48
Comments:
-
52. Name: zhaohui Han (zhaohui.han@gmail.com) on 2016-06-07 03:46:12
Comments:
-
53. Name: Zhen Zuo (janehu123@gmail.com) on 2016-06-07 09:57:11
Comments:
-
54. Name: Nathalie Daniel (Nathalienguyen@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-07 10:12:54
Comments: Thanks Mayra for starting this!
-
55. Name: Zhaohui Han (zhaohuihan@gmail.com) on 2016-06-07 11:45:59
Comments:
-
56. Name: Steve Capellini (steve@verticalg.com) on 2016-06-07 13:33:14
Comments: 27 Ross Road
Scarsdale, NY 10583
-
57. Name: Jan Flanzer (jan@healthyhomebuilders.com) on 2016-06-07 13:51:59
Comments:
-
58. Name: Gabriel Streche (gogutzu@msn.com) on 2016-06-07 14:25:44
Comments: The model error on this new reval is so large! Trying to match any of the assessments to sale prices is just pure fiction. A 'simpler' Ryan model that was sold by the Village is so off from reality!
-
59. Name: Kelly Shang (kellys4kids@gmail.com) on 2016-06-07 15:20:30
Comments:
-
60. Name: Michael Wolloch (mwolloch@att.net) on 2016-06-07 19:40:02
Comments:
-
61. Name: Melissa Sepe Chepuru (Mschepuru@gmail.com) on 2016-06-07 21:38:28

Comments: The lack of transparency is as disturbing as is the apparent randomness of the calculations. Glad to hear people are gathering around this issue together.

62. Name: Elizabeth Detmer (ElizabethDetmer@Gmailc.om) on 2016-06-08 00:58:03
Comments:

63. Name: Katharine Miao (kmiao1@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-08 01:38:55
Comments:

64. Name: Mike Kunkin (mikekunkin@gmail.com) on 2016-06-08 01:54:09
Comments: Something is very wrong with this Revaluation
Why should my taxes go up 50% since last revaluation and my property go up \$350K in one year

65. Name: Candace Capellini (Candacecap9@gmail.com) on 2016-06-08 11:41:38
Comments:

66. Name: Susan Levine (BAL1998@aol.com) on 2016-06-08 13:04:22
Comments: This new Reval raised my Assessment 15% to a Level at which my house would never sell. A huge tax increase for us..as Seniors. The "Model" used by Ryan was a mistake.

67. Name: Laura Kunkin (atlorenz@aol.com) on 2016-06-08 13:15:35
Comments:

68. Name: Hiromi Kawabata (hiromikawabata@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-08 17:18:03
Comments: Our assessment went up almost 40% from the first one done by Tyler

69. Name: Sam Dunn (darnay22@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-08 18:26:12
Comments:

70. Name: Anthony Amoriello (ant.amor@verizon.net) on 2016-06-08 20:09:16
Comments:

71. Name: Chris Amoriello (chris.amor@verizon.net) on 2016-06-08 20:15:18
Comments:

72. Name: Zhuojuan Zhang (zhuojuan@gmail.com) on 2016-06-08 22:45:05
Comments:

73. Name: Lew Leone (lew.leone@foxtv.com) on 2016-06-09 00:02:45
Comments: 8 South Woods Lane Home built in 1927. Nothing changed since the last reval. Increased \$129k over 10%. Defies logic.

-
74. Name: Dino Venuto (dinopat@aol.com) on 2016-06-09 04:49:02
Comments: Reval is flawed
Vacant lot over 2x the size of lot on same block valued \$25,000 more. Many, many inequities.
-
75. Name: sherry Berkowitz (sherryberkowitz@gmail.com) on 2016-06-09 13:36:00
Comments:
-
76. Name: Wuhong Zhang (wzhang11365@gmail.com) on 2016-06-09 14:43:00
Comments:
-
77. Name: Stuart Silver (s.silver@optonline.net) on 2016-06-09 14:56:54
Comments: The 2016 Reval was unnecessary and needs to be rescinded!
-
78. Name: Ray Dotoratos (Ray_hello@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-10 05:20:17
Comments:
-
79. Name: Jean Dotoratos (jeandotoratos@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-10 05:33:48
Comments: The FMV of my house increased \$50,000 as a result of the previous reassessment and now due to the 2016 reassessment increased \$275,000 on top of the \$50,000 one just recently done. I have not made any improvements to my property since the previous reassessment. I'm a senior resident of Scarsdale for 50 years living on a small fixed income. I'm signing this petition to void the 2016 Scarsdale Tentative AV. This grossly overly inflated absurd increase to me and my neighbors with comparable increases while other properties similar to mine decreased by excess \$150,000 and more for no logical reason is not fair nor just to me or other residents in our community.
-
80. Name: Hiromi Dotoratos (hiromidotoratos@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-10 05:39:07
Comments:
-
81. Name: Robert Berg (robertbergesq@aol.com) on 2016-06-10 14:23:49
Comments: This debacle was entirely predictable and avoidable. The Village Board and Mayor wouldn't listen to me, Bob Harrison, and others who begged them not to go forward with this unnecessary and messed up reval. Look at the angst created and the money wasted.
-
82. Name: Jill Krutick (jillkrutick1@aol.com) on 2016-06-10 15:58:25
Comments: Time for a do over.
-
83. Name: Kelly Karapetyan (P97kelly@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-10 17:14:54
Comments:
-

84. Name: Michael J Raposa (michael@blumetech.com) on 2016-06-11 11:02:31
Comments:
-
85. Name: Robert Kobayashi (bob.koby007@gmail.com) on 2016-06-11 15:50:32
Comments:
-
86. Name: Sheila Stempler (sirl4@aol.com) on 2016-06-11 20:23:01
Comments: This new assessment is an outrageous increase and you are forcing seniors and long time residents out of their homes.
Shame on you!
-
87. Name: Tama Seife (tkseife@aol.com) on 2016-06-11 21:25:53
Comments: The reval seems to concentrate the assessment increase on lot size. The unintended (or intended) consequence is to promote mcmansions using every inch of empty space.
-
88. Name: Irene Weitzenberg (IPann@aol.com) on 2016-06-11 22:05:11
Comments: If you are on a fixed income you are being taxed out of your home.
-
89. Name: Mahesh Vennam (maheshvennam@gmail.com) on 2016-06-11 23:23:02
Comments: Review unfair home values
-
90. Name: Bob Harrison (proscars@aol.com) on 2016-06-11 23:32:25
Comments: Scarsdale residents need to show up at the Village Board meeting on Tuesday, June 14 at 8 PM , Get your friends to come. Sign the petition to void the Reval, send a protest email to the mayor@scarsdale.com, and to the entire Board at clerk@scarsdale.com , file your grievance with the assessor by Tuesday, June 21.
-
91. Name: Terri Harrison (proscars@aol.com) on 2016-06-11 23:36:17
Comments: Void the 2016 Reval !!!
-
92. Name: Julie Zhu (jzhuliu@gmail.com) on 2016-06-12 02:53:08
Comments:
-
93. Name: LI Liu (liliu1@gmail.com) on 2016-06-12 03:00:34
Comments: 73 Hampton rd l
-
94. Name: Laurie Disick (ldisick@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-12 13:20:52
Comments:
-
95. Name: Josh Frankel (j_frankel@me.com) on 2016-06-12 13:28:56
Comments: This reval was presented as a way to correct "outliers," to "refine and correct" (Mayor Mark's words) residual issues from the Tyler reval. Instead, it used a wholly

different model and, not surprisingly, produced a totally different outcome. This is totally unacceptable, and the "tentative" roll should not become permanent.

-
96. Name: Aparna Vennam (aparnavennam@gmail.com) on 2016-06-12 21:07:19
Comments:
-
97. Name: Cristina Marinaccio (cristinashops@gmail.com) on 2016-06-12 21:45:24
Comments: Recal the revall!
-
98. Name: Xiaofang Wei (kxwe@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-12 23:01:46
Comments:
-
99. Name: Hui liu (huiliugyg@gmail.com) on 2016-06-13 00:29:10
Comments:
-
100. Name: zhengyu huang (zhengyu05@gmail.com) on 2016-06-13 00:29:36
Comments: We bought our house last year and got assessed way above the sale price. I don't even see our house on the list of the sale comp list. Not sure what kind of model they are using
-
101. Name: wentian fu (fuwentian@aliyun.com) on 2016-06-13 00:37:44
Comments:
-
102. Name: Lori Fedio (lfedio@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-13 01:42:11
Comments:
-
103. Name: CheongSack Hoong (k_hoong@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-13 01:58:59
Comments:
-
104. Name: Ping Wei (weiping2008@gmail.com) on 2016-06-13 02:05:51
Comments:
-
105. Name: Jing Li (jingaling_1998@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-13 02:40:57
Comments:
-
106. Name: Min Luo (lmlucy226@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-13 03:22:14
Comments:
-
107. Name: Bernice Galef (bernarts@earthlink.net) on 2016-06-13 12:34:02
Comments:
-
108. Name: Eitan Kashanian (ekashanian@aol.com) on 2016-06-13 14:06:08

Comments:

-
109. Name: Tony Luo (antonyluo@gmail.com) on 2016-06-13 16:16:11
Comments:
-
110. Name: Gary Levy (glevy@jhcohn.com) on 2016-06-14 00:44:46
Comments:
-
111. Name: Stephen Marchiony (smarchiony@msn.com) on 2016-06-14 02:10:08
Comments: In 2014, our house was finally valued properly.....less than two years later it was assessed over 22% higher--based on a completely different model.
-
112. Name: Anna Demina (ademina@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-14 02:18:51
Comments: I believe the new Scarsdale valuation is unfair
-
113. Name: Michele Braun (mxbraun@earthlink.net) on 2016-06-14 13:40:58
Comments: 14 Wakefield Road
Scarsdale, NY 10583
-
114. Name: Teresa Blume (teresa@blumetech.com) on 2016-06-14 13:57:54
Comments: Teresa Blume 135 Saxon Woods Road
-
115. Name: Michael Seymour (orioles4@outlook.com) on 2016-06-14 15:13:09
Comments:
-
116. Name: neil doppelt (neil5858@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-14 15:14:29
Comments:
-
117. Name: Felix DiMartino (felixd@belmontsales.com) on 2016-06-14 21:28:23
Comments: The town board should take notice of the unusually high number of homes for sale, with many not selling unless the homeowner is willing to accept a low offer from a potential buyer. This at a time when homes in neighboring towns, like Larchmont, are selling quickly, often at asking price. The growing frustration of watching the unreasonable rise of Scarsdale taxes ,the wasting of countless hours and money to fund inaccurate revals, plans to stop leaf collection, and grass clipping pickup has created the perception that the Scarsdale we once new is rapidly disappearing. Seems the governing body is on a mission to do "less with more". I wonder, if instead of raising taxes while eliminating services, we had an independent auditor-review to determine if the town is most effectively utilizing our tax dollars.
-
118. Name: Jen Premisler (jen.premisler@gmail.com) on 2016-06-15 00:12:58
Comments: The assessments are in appropriate and transfer the tax burden from the most expensive homes with the most land to the least expensive homes with the least land. A \$5 mil house on an acre should be assessed proportionately to a \$750k house

on .2 acres, not disproportionately.

119. Name: Robert Neidig (robertneidig@gmail.com) on 2016-06-15 15:06:31
Comments:

120. Name: Sharon Stern (sharstern@gmail.com) on 2016-06-15 17:10:09
Comments:

121. Name: Ron Tesoro (mrapaper@optonline.net) on 2016-06-15 17:59:02
Comments: Don't let high taxes destroy our village. Void the reval and demand a review of the Ryan model.

122. Name: Victor Ghiozzi (vjghiozzi@aol.com) on 2016-06-16 00:50:35
Comments:

123. Name: Greg Kourakos (cckourakos@gmail.com) on 2016-06-16 18:52:41
Comments:

124. Name: Norton Rosensweig (drnorto@aol.com) on 2016-06-20 22:54:24
Comments:

125. Name: Jennifer Kashanian (jkashanian@aol.com) on 2016-06-21 18:23:36
Comments: Taxes are too high

126. Name: Eitan Kashanian (eitan.kashanian@gmail.com) on 2016-06-21 18:24:57
Comments:

127. Name: Caroline cai (carolineyong15@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-21 23:44:01
Comments:

128. Name: Yanmei Yang (judithyang@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-21 23:48:09
Comments:

129. Name: Ken Liu (liuyide@hotmail.com) on 2016-06-21 23:50:23
Comments:

130. Name: Xiaofang Wei (kxwe@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-21 23:54:24
Comments:

131. Name: Mythili Murthy (mythilimurthy76@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-21 23:59:59
Comments:

132. Name: Yong Yue (ygyue@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 00:00:42
Comments: Yong Yue
70 Brambach Rd
-
133. Name: Meng Chen (mengchenusc@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 00:21:42
Comments: The use of square root of a house size as a calculation factor is very unscientific. Please review your model.
146 Brite Ave
-
134. Name: Jing Lu (jinglu1967@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 00:33:32
Comments: This is a lousy reval
-
135. Name: Bo Yang (yang_276@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 00:34:02
Comments:
-
136. Name: Miao Jin (miaojin_2000@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 00:38:36
Comments:
-
137. Name: Xiaofang Wei (kxwe@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 00:39:49
Comments:
-
138. Name: Zhen Zuo (janehu123@gmail.com) on 2016-06-22 00:44:18
Comments:
-
139. Name: Zhengyu huang (zhengyu05@gmail.com) on 2016-06-22 00:46:48
Comments: It is an unfair, opaque process. The results are really random and unfair based on my observation/data points. Would like to void this year's assessed value.
-
140. Name: Tao Hong (hongtao_ny@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 00:47:01
Comments:
-
141. Name: rosie (rosiecong@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 00:54:42
Comments: how can property value jump 60% over a year? what kind of statistics model would quantify that is just beyond what I can understand. If they want to void what Tyler did a year ago, there should be more cost effective way to do it, rather than hiring another person to come to such random numbers.
-
142. Name: Limin Wang (qmatter@yahoo.com) on 2016-06-22 02:22:34
Comments:
-
143. Name: Jeff wu (invest10101@gmail.com) on 2016-06-22 02:56:53
Comments:
-

144. Name: Jason Zhang (jason8826@me.com) on 2016-06-23 02:00:27
Comments: My house's tentative AV increased by 81% compared to last year. In addition to the model risk, the year's valuation is highly depended on the building information. Because the assessor only conducted the exterior inspection, the valuation is of course questionable.
-
145. Name: Valerie Glicker (valerie@glicker.com) on 2016-06-23 12:24:06
Comments:
-
146. Name: Nick Nesi (NickNesi2@gmail.com) on 2016-06-24 14:03:29
Comments:
-
147. Name: Noreen Linn (Noreen955@gmail.com) on 2016-06-24 14:04:48
Comments:
-
148. Name: Pan Meifen (tecumseh@qq.com) on 2016-06-28 15:40:08
Comments:
-
149. Name: Carl Mo (carlmo@yahoo.com) on 2016-07-04 02:29:15
Comments:
-
150. Name: Saskia Sorrosa (ssorrosa@gmail.com) on 2016-07-06 02:22:27
Comments:
-
151. Name: Greg Kourakos (cckourakos@gmail.com) on 2016-07-08 14:44:09
Comments:
-
152. Name: Gary matzkin (gary.matzkin@gmail.com) on 2016-07-13 17:26:45
Comments: Gary Matzkin
10 Griffen Ave
Scarsdale NY
-
153. Name: Roger Neustadt (rknatty@aol.com) on 2016-07-13 17:47:49
Comments:
-
154. Name: Ido Stern (idostern123@gmail.com) on 2016-07-13 17:54:34
Comments:
-
155. Name: Lee Fischman (lee.fischman@gmail.com) on 2016-07-13 18:22:35
Comments:
-
156. Name: Alexander Paranyuk (aparanyuk@gmail.com) on 2016-07-21 02:40:34
Comments: The assessed values is supposed to be as of July 2015. If the model is valid,

why do we see a house sold for \$3,300,000 in July 2015, appraised at \$2,450,000 ? There are lots of examples like this at village's website (<http://www.scarsdale.com/Portals/0/Assessor/2016%20REVAL.SalesFilefor2016Reval.pdf>)

-
157. Name: Helen Levitin (hlevitin@yahoo.com) on 2016-07-21 02:57:33
Comments:
-
158. Name: Jody keltz (jodybkeltz@gmail.com) on 2016-07-23 18:33:33
Comments:
-
159. Name: Jennifer Gross (jbgross3@gmail.com) on 2016-07-23 19:57:07
Comments: Jennifer Gross, 50 Harvest Drive
-
160. Name: Marc Berkowitz (mlberko@gmail.com) on 2016-07-24 20:46:32
Comments:
-
161. Name: Debrah Dweck (debrahd@verizon.net) on 2016-07-27 14:39:58
Comments:
-
162. Name: Ellen Politi (empoliti@verizon.net) on 2016-07-28 02:41:20
Comments:
-
163. Name: Eileen Cohen (ileanjwc@aol.com) on 2016-07-28 15:35:21
Comments:
-
164. Name: elliot l cohen (elcmd67@aol.com) on 2016-07-29 14:24:25
Comments:
-
165. Name: phyllis stagg (phylstagg@aol.com) on 2016-07-29 19:15:44
Comments:
-
166. Name: Sarah Weinshel (sweinshel@verizon.net) on 2016-07-30 13:08:06
Comments:
-
167. Name: Preston Lurie (prestonlurie@verizon.net) on 2016-07-30 13:54:05
Comments:
-
168. Name: Allan and Mary Shapiro (amshapiroconsult@aol.com) on 2016-08-04 14:24:39
Comments: the proposed reassessment is flawed. It contained numerous non-factual and erroneous assessments about our property in numerous categories.
-
169. Name: Sara Kober (sara.kober@gmail.com) on 2016-08-04 14:33:55

Comments:

170. Name: Maynard and Yasuko High (highm@wcmc.com) on 2016-08-04 14:37:15
Comments: The reval mistakenly added 1000 square feet of "finished basement" space to our basement, none of which is "finished". The reval increased our assessed value by 25% even though the house is unchanged from the previous walkthru evaluation.
Maynard and Yasuko High, 24 Stratton Road
-
171. Name: Margaret and Gerry Hill (thehillfam@msn.com) on 2016-08-04 16:39:00
Comments:
-
172. Name: Diane Chesler (ccny72@verizon.net) on 2016-08-04 17:17:29
Comments:
-
173. Name: Richard H Gast (rhgast@aol.com) on 2016-08-04 19:17:18
Comments: The 2016 assessment is flawed and should be voided.
-
174. Name: Chenggang Zhou (czhou@alumni.princeton.edu) on 2016-08-06 19:38:44
Comments: The 'market model' used by this re-evaluation is largely subjective and results in huge distortions of the market value of homes in Scarsdale. Anyone with some experience in either the housing market or statistical methods would not accept such a poor revaluation.
-
175. Name: Gregory Soldatenko (gregsold@gmail.com) on 2016-08-07 23:27:26
Comments:
-
176. Name: Avital Soldatenko (avitalsold@gmail.com) on 2016-08-08 00:32:48
Comments:
-
177. Name: Elisabeth and Dominique Pellerin (dpelle3228@aol.com) on 2016-08-10 01:22:09
Comments: We are longtime residents of Scarsdale and are deeply disturbed by the result of the Jf Ryan 2016 reval.
The process is flawed and unfair in particular to owners of smaller homes. Our house has 1,509 sq. ft. and the Ryan reval added 16 percent to the Tyler valuation for no reason except for the use of an arbitrary and suspicious square root method amplified by other dubious lines such as a substantial penalty for central air. What's next? The assessment of our paved driveway?
In addition, the short period between receipt of the letter June 6 and grievance day June 17 did not provide enough time to respond (12 days!) given the need to understand a complex and very different valuation method while the lack of timely and clear footnotes from the village assessor's office hindered and delayed the much needed due diligence process.
-
178. Name: Jason Mannering (jasonmannering@me.com) on 2016-08-11 21:12:05
Comments:

-
179. Name: Dorothy Levin (Levin.dottie@yahoo.com) on 2016-08-12 16:08:06
Comments:
-
180. Name: Scott Coplan (scott@coplan.net) on 2016-08-12 17:06:28
Comments: The 2016 Ryan assessment for the Scarsdale Village was highly flawed when compared to the thorough approach taken by Tyler Technologies. Our house valuation increased by 50.5% in 2 years, while the total village valuation remained constant over the same period. Furthermore, while reviewing similar size properties in the area, our house was valued considerably more. The valuation should be based on what we could sell our house for not some inflated figure. It is highly apparent that JF Ryan did not use a proven and effective valuation model that could be both verified and supported. Our town officials need to review the facts, admit to the residents that this valuation was not accurate and then determine a course of action to resolve and put peace back in the community!
-
181. Name: Pamela Low (pamlow11@gmail.com) on 2016-08-12 18:57:07
Comments:
-
182. Name: Philip Maresco (ufop77@yahoo.com) on 2016-08-13 13:12:36
Comments: Absurd un-doing of the very expensive and professional job done just 2 years earlier- what were they thinking?
-
183. Name: Melissa Sepe Chepuru (Mschepuru@gmail.com) on 2016-08-14 15:36:34
Comments: It is obvious by looking casually at this situation that there has been an improper use of power and influence at the Village level. It's not clear what is going on, but clearly something is. Lack of transparency and pushing things that effect everyone through without proper notice was the first mistake. Thinking no one would notice or take action was the second.
-
184. Name: Henry Handel (henryhandel@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 11:14:33
Comments: Henry Handel
22 Hamilton Rd
Scarsdale, NY 10583
-
185. Name: Henry Handel (henryhandel@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 11:15:17
Comments: Henry Handel
22 Hamilton Rd
Scarsdale, NY 10583
-
186. Name: Gretchen Reuter (gretchre@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 11:48:20
Comments:
-
187. Name: Elizabeth Barnea (libbybarnea@yahoo.com) on 2016-08-19 11:52:51
Comments:

-
188. Name: Leah Dembitzer (ldembitzer@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 11:54:50
Comments:
-
189. Name: Kenny Hoong (k_hoong@hotmail.com) on 2016-08-19 12:04:42
Comments: The new reveal by J.F. Ryan is inaccurate and unfair. Please have some sense and void this reveal.
-
190. Name: Marisa Goldberg (marisagoldberg@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 12:08:56
Comments:
-
191. Name: Lynn Pollack (lynn@pollackservices.com) on 2016-08-19 12:30:25
Comments: Seems like a it was a disaster.
-
192. Name: Thomas Killian (thomaskillian@verizon.net) on 2016-08-19 12:50:48
Comments: I think the whole process was done unfairly and should be done with better oversight with the residents involved
-
193. Name: Gail dobosh (gldobosh@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 13:27:22
Comments:
-
194. Name: Jon Solodar (jsolodar4@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 13:35:45
Comments:
-
195. Name: Lynn Buckvar-Keltz (lbkeltz@aol.com) on 2016-08-19 16:46:03
Comments: Lynn Buckvar-Keltz
64 Greenacres Avenue
Scarsdale
-
196. Name: Helene Gray (rhsgray@aol.com) on 2016-08-19 17:19:20
Comments:
-
197. Name: Amy Schiff (amyschiff21@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 17:39:33
Comments:
-
198. Name: Howard Nadel (howard.nadel@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 18:31:18
Comments: Mistakes were made
Let's not compound them by going forward with this report
-
199. Name: Jenny Ryu (jenny.g.ryu@gmail.com) on 2016-08-19 19:39:34
Comments:
-

200. Name: Chris and Maryellen Saenger (msaenger@verizon.net) on 2016-08-19 21:47:20
Comments:
-
201. Name: Sandra Coakley (sandra.coakley@hotmail.com) on 2016-08-19 21:59:19
Comments:
-
202. Name: Lori Reich (loriareich@aol.com) on 2016-08-19 23:36:03
Comments:
-
203. Name: Cynthia Newman (cynewman2@gmail.com) on 2016-08-20 04:33:23
Comments:
-
204. Name: martin keltz (mdkeltz@aol.com) on 2016-08-20 11:22:13
Comments:
-
205. Name: Emily Henry (emilyhenry@optonline.net) on 2016-08-20 13:40:22
Comments:
-
206. Name: Amy nadasdi (amynadasdi@gmail.com) on 2016-08-20 16:58:51
Comments:
-
207. Name: GP (gitanjali13@hitmail.com) on 2016-08-20 17:12:35
Comments:
-
208. Name: Swapna Kanekar (svmisquith@hotmail.com) on 2016-08-21 03:18:27
Comments:
-
209. Name: Linda Mantis (linda.mantis@juliabfee.com) on 2016-08-21 16:54:43
Comments:
-
210. Name: Margaret and Robert Shearer (shearer3@earthlink.net) on 2016-08-21 17:21:48
Comments: Margaret and Robert Shearer
143 Madison Road, Scarsdale
-
211. Name: Ruth Garrity (patruth@optonline.net) on 2016-08-21 17:38:16
Comments:
-
212. Name: Otilie Jarmel (ojarmel@yahoo.com) on 2016-08-21 18:04:46
Comments:
-
213. Name: Linda Killian (lindakillian@optonline.net) on 2016-08-21 18:39:03
Comments: Ryan reval deprived taxpayers of their statutory rights to prepare grievances

because it was submitted at the last minute and letters from the assessor went out after June 1 .

-
214. Name: Marshal Shemtob (mshemtob@me.com) on 2016-08-21 18:41:15
Comments:
-
215. Name: Karl Thomas (karl123@optonline.net) on 2016-08-21 19:40:25
Comments:
-
216. Name: Jocelyn Forde (josieforde@hotmail.com) on 2016-08-21 22:23:23
Comments: The reval is based on a flawed, undocumented model that unfairly taxes smaller properties and has no relationship with the earlier Tyler model.
-
217. Name: David Dachinger (firetrax@mac.com) on 2016-08-22 00:18:54
Comments:
-
218. Name: Beth Lambert (bethlambert.home@gmail.com) on 2016-08-22 00:27:32
Comments:
-
219. Name: Jing lu (jinglu1967@yahoo.com) on 2016-08-22 00:38:23
Comments: Arbitrary model, #s unreasonable.
-
220. Name: Roger N (rknatty@aol.com) on 2016-08-22 02:08:24
Comments:
-
221. Name: Carol Tetenbaum (CarTet13@aol.com) on 2016-08-22 02:55:34
Comments:
-
222. Name: Bob Selvaggio (rselvaggio@rutterassociates.com) on 2016-08-22 12:48:10
Comments: No competent diligence performed prior to hiring Ryan; email evidence of improper communications and/or relationship between Ryan and Albanese; flawed statistical methods and testing employed and no model review or validation pursued by Village. Model outputs/computer printouts not submitted to Village. Need to hire a competent assessor, seek refund from Ryan, and void the 2016 revaluation.
-
223. Name: Tad (tadzhao@outlook.com) on 2016-08-22 13:25:39
Comments:
-
224. Name: Shang-Jin Wei (shangjin.wei@columbia.edu) on 2016-08-22 14:10:13
Comments:
-
225. Name: Lou Mancini (lou@jdevents.com) on 2016-08-22 14:40:27
Comments: Lou Mancini

40 Carman Rd

John Mooney
178 Bradley Rd

-
226. Name: Lauren Yang-Guo (laurenyang@yahoo.com) on 2016-08-22 15:01:09
Comments:
-
227. Name: Douglas Leone (Douglas@clairedleone.com) on 2016-08-22 16:44:36
Comments: This Reval is the perfect example of the government solving one problem and creating two more.
-
228. Name: Norbert Hennessy (ambit@me.com) on 2016-08-22 18:43:40
Comments:
-
229. Name: Mary Shapiro (maryhealyshapiro@aol.com) on 2016-08-22 19:09:28
Comments:
-
230. Name: Nikolaus Buch (nbuch@atconsult.com) on 2016-08-23 01:45:29
Comments:
-
231. Name: Gunilla Faringer (gfaringer@verizon.net) on 2016-08-23 02:11:55
Comments: The steep increases in real estate taxes are unacceptable.
-
232. Name: robert neidig (robertneidig@gmail.com) on 2016-08-25 21:03:11
Comments: pls void the reval now
-
233. Name: Cheryl Felton (cjgfelton@gmail.com) on 2016-08-27 22:48:21
Comments:
-
234. Name: Melvin and Phyllis Leitner (phyllypie@aol.com) on 2016-09-04 01:30:28
Comments:
-
235. Name: Gretchen Reuter (gretchre@gmail.com) on 2016-09-06 17:39:37
Comments:
-
236. Name: Barbara Underhill (bunderhill@optonline.net) on 2016-09-06 18:11:40
Comments: I think the mere fact that the Board of trustees decided to have a drive-by reval done by Ryan is tantamount to criminal. The valuations placed on small houses is far out of line that it is an abuse of the affordable housing Act's premise. The Village has become greedy and in an effort to collect money they are asking people to pay more than what the richest residents in their grand houses are paying.

This is being done for the very rich, the real estate agents and the builders.

237. Name: Diane Chesler (ccny72@aol.com) on 2016-09-06 20:39:09
Comments:

238. Name: sabrina zook (sabrina.zook@yahoo.com) on 2016-09-07 03:31:01
Comments:

239. Name: abraham dweck (debrahd@verizon.net) on 2016-09-13 02:08:48
Comments:

240. Name: Michael Iver (iver2@hotmail.com) on 2016-09-13 13:03:07
Comments: This reval must be voided because of errors in defining the sales population, also because the methodology is "arbitrary and capricious."

241. Name: Shangyuan luo (antonyluo@gmail.com) on 2016-09-13 13:03:31
Comments:

242. Name: Teresa Raposa (teresa@blumetech.com) on 2016-09-13 13:15:52
Comments:

243. Name: Alan G Frommer (fromco1@aol.com) on 2016-09-13 14:28:37
Comments:

244. Name: Richard Gast (rhgast@aol.com) on 2016-09-13 17:55:09
Comments:

245. Name: Nancy Feldschuh (nancynf18@gmail.com) on 2016-09-13 18:54:45
Comments:

246. Name: Pamela (pam116@live.com) on 2016-09-14 01:58:57
Comments:
